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Okanagan Nation Alliance Sockeye Reintroduction Program

- Long-term program to restore the historical range of Sockeye in the upper Okanagan watershed
- New hatchery allows expansion of reintroduction program and consistent production of sockeye fry for release to the Okanagan River system
Stakeholders and funding agencies included:

- Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation
- Grant and Chelan Public Utility Districts
- Penticton Indian Band
- Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada

Design and construction oversight by separate engineering consultants for each discipline.

Tetra Tech had the only US engineers and designed the hatchery’s aquaculture systems, and assisted with construction management and facility startup.
KI cp’elk’ stim’ Hatchery (Penticton Sockeye Hatchery)

- New 25,000-square-foot sockeye salmon hatchery
- Capacity to rear up to 8 million eggs from brood stock management to fry
- Began operation in the 2014 broodstock season
- Sockeye salmon released in June as fry into the Okanagan River system
Hatchery Site
Project Lesson #1 – Keep fish culture goals central to the process

- Establish the rearing program and biological criteria
- Develop design criteria – and refer back to them throughout project
- Assign someone who understands hatchery design criteria to help lead the design and assist with construction management
Project Lesson #1 – Keep fish culture goals central to the process

• Design criteria example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BASIC BIOLOGICALS</th>
<th>INCUBATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fry Production Goal (Phase I)</td>
<td>Egg Incubator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000 (4,542,000 @ flow req.)</td>
<td>Kitoi Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry Production Goal (Phase II)</td>
<td>Females per Incubator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,400,000 (6,813,000 @ flow req.)</td>
<td>80-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Size at Release</td>
<td>Eggs per Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>2,300–2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Egg Take (Phase I)</td>
<td>Eggs per incubator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>168,000 (200,000 @Shuswap)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Egg Take (Phase II)</td>
<td>Total # Incubators (Phase I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000,000</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg to Fry Survival</td>
<td>Total # Incubators (Phase II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry Size at Transfer to Tanks</td>
<td>Water Flow per Incubator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13–0.15 g</td>
<td>45.42 lpm (50–55 lpm @Shuswap)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target ATUs at Release</td>
<td>Total Incubator Flow (Phase I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>1453 lpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start Egg Collection</td>
<td>Incubation Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Natural Regime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry Ponding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early-March</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry Stocking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early-June</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Lesson #2 – Engage team members with instrumentation contractor

- Hatchery design engineer leads the process
  - Engage the owner
  - Meet in-person with control system designer
    - Don’t forget local control panels
- Fish production operators are consulted during design process
  - Alarms, monitoring, emergency operation
- Process water system controls should be reliable, user-friendly, and easy to understand
- Prepare mock-ups of all HMI screens
Project Lesson #2 – Engage team members with instrumentation contractor

- Example of system mode selector and color coding for off-season equipment
Project Lesson #3 – Develop performance criteria for critical equipment

- Performance criteria should be:
  - Developed during design
  - Understood during construction
  - Measured during startup

- Critical equipment directly impacts fish rearing
Project Lesson #3 – Develop performance criteria for critical equipment

• Penticton design criteria indicated “Minimum D.O. = 9.0 mg/l”
• This allows misinterpretation by any team member using the design criteria
• A better design criteria would be: “Minimum D.O. leaving supply headbox = 9.0 mg/l”
• Better design criteria lead to better equipment selections
Project Lesson #4 – Continue water quality testing after pilot stage

- Pilot-testing is important in developing design criteria
- Continue testing as equipment comes online
  - Identify gaps in the pilot data
  - Identify changes over time
  - Modify design to accommodate new data
  - Hatchery operation can be modified to mitigate changes
- At Penticton, DO was lower than pilot testing, and bio-fouling was an issue
Project Lesson #4 – Continue water quality testing after pilot stage
Project Lesson #5 – Consider seasonal impacts on operations

- Hatchery systems designed for one season may need to be tested in another.
- Hatchery engineer and contractor should discuss best ways to conduct testing.
- At Penticton, modified testing procedures were used to operate dry cooler at summer temperatures.
Project Lesson #5 – Consider seasonal impacts on operations

- At Penticton, flexibility for seasonal change in chilled water requirements was provided
Project Lesson #6 – Evaluate building systems for weather impacts

- Consider where enclosure is required or not
- Temperature is not the only consideration – moisture, leaves, etc.
- At Penticton, modifications were made to headboxes due to greater than expected weather impacts
Project Lesson – Summary

1. Keep fish culture goals central to the process
2. Engage team members with instrumentation contractor
3. Develop performance criteria for critical equipment
4. Continue water quality testing after pilot stage
5. Consider seasonal impacts on operations
6. Evaluate building systems for weather impacts
Questions?